Exhibit A

John T. Herbert, Esq. Hebert Law Group, LLC 96 Engle Street Englewood, NJ 07631 Phone: (201) 490-4070

Attorney No.: 002291975

RECEIVED / FILED Superior Court of New Jersey

MAR 2 2 2016

CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT UNION COUNTY

RAFAEL FERNANDEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

BRAND ENERGY SERVICES, LLC, XYZ CORP., ABC, INC., JOHN/JANE DOES 1-25,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION, UNION COUNTY

CIVIL ACTION UNN.L. 1C 18 - 7

COMPLAINT

RECEIVED

Superior Court of New Jersey

MAR 2 2 2016

CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT
UNION COUNTY

Plaintiff, Rafael Fernantiez, by and through his attorneys, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff, Rafael Fernandez ("Plaintiff"), brings this action against Defendants, Brand Energy Services, LLC, XYZ CORP., ABC, INC., JOHN/JANE DOES 1-25 ("Defendants" or "The Company"), for legal relief to redress Defendants' actions in subjecting him to illegal discrimination on the basis of his ethnicity, national origin and/or age in terminating him from Brand Energy Services, LLC (Brand). This suit is brought to secure the protection of and to redress the deprivation of rights secured by the New Jersey Law of Discrimination ("NJLAD"), codified at N.J.S.A. § 10:5-1, et seq.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff is a United States citizen of Puerto Rican Hispanic ancestry, age 50 at the time of his termination. He presently resides at 283 Garfield Avenue, Jersey City, New Jersey.
- 3. Plaintiff was regularly employed by Defendants over the course of approximately five (5) years, until his termination from Brand on or about March 22, 2014. Throughout his employment by Defendants and prior to the events complained of herein, Plaintiff performed his job well.
- 4. Brand Energy Services, LLC utilizes union workforce to offer a diverse portfolio of industrial services, including scaffolding for power plant repairs. Brand operates from many offices, including in New Jersey at Brand Energy Services, 3 Mark Road in Kenilworth, NJ, 07033, Union County, New Jersey.
- 5. Plaintiff was at all times referred to in this Complaint employed by Defendants in the State of New Jersey.
- 6. Defendants maintain actual and/or constructive control over all of its operations and have authority to direct and manage its employee practices.
- 7. Upon information and belief, all of the acts alleged herein were authorized, ordered, implemented and/or ratified by Defendants' officers, agents, employees and/or representatives.
- 8. Defendants are an "employer" within the definition of the NJLAD, N.J.S.A. § 10:5-5.
- Defendants XYZ Corp., ABC, Inc., and John and Jane Does 1-25 are fictitious names;
 Plaintiff hereby reserves their right to amend the Complaint as a result of pleading
 fictitious parties. Defendants XYZ Corp., ABC, Inc., and John and Jane Does 1-25

are entities and/or individuals that may have discriminated against Plaintiff but they are as yet unknown.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 10. Because Plaintiff asserts claims taking place within the State of New Jersey and arising under the laws of the State of New Jersey, in the County of Union, this Court has jurisdiction over this controversy, the authority to empanel a jury to hear, to consider and to decide its facts, and the authority to order any and all appropriate legal and equitable relief for any violations of law found.
- 11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's New Jersey Law Against Discrimination claims pursuant to N.J.S.A. 10:5-1 et. seq.
- 12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants own and operate a business within the State of New Jersey, specifically located within Union County and many, if not all of the decisions and or events which give rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred within the State of New Jersey within Union County vicinage.
- 13. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to N.J. Ct. R. 4:3-2 because all, and/or a substantial portion of these events and decisions giving rise to this action occurred within the State of New Jersey in Union County.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

- 14. Plaintiff has been a carpenter for Brand, regularly employed by Brand as a carpenter on power plant scaffolding projects since 2010.
- 15. Brand first hired Plaintiff through the Carpenters Union Hall in 2010. This is the only time that Plaintiff was hired through the Union Hall. After Brand hired Plaintiff

- on a first project out of the Union Hall in 2010, Brand regularly called Plaintiff directly for Brand projects that involved building scaffolding. Plaintiff regularly worked for Brand at Public Service Electric and Gas ("PSEG") power in New Jersey, including, but not limited to, the Hudson, Linden, Bergen, Bayonne, Sayreville and Essex, New Jersey power plants.
- 16. While working for Brand, Plaintiff was subject to severe and persistent discrimination based on Plaintiff's ethnicity or national origin. There were repeated, unnecessary references to Plaintiff's ethnicity or national origin, for example, Brand employees saying: "not this Puerto Rican." As another example, Plaintiff's General Foreman, Tony Clemenza, a Caucasian male, would avoid giving the list of materials to be collected to a Hispanic employee, which was an embarrassing put-down and interfered with Plaintiff's work performance. On a different occasion, when Plaintiff did a job for Brand in New York, the Brand employees went to a site where the Foreman was Jamaican; Mr. Clemenza had the Jamaican Foreman step down due to his race and became the Foreman for the day. As a related matter, Plaintiff was overlooked for work and not given overtime due to his ethnicity and/or national origin.
- 17. While working for Brand, Plaintiff frequently raised concerns about Brand's tortious failure to mitigate health and safety risks to its employees, such as failing to provide proper safety equipment and condoning or otherwise permitting smoking in confined spaces at substantial health risk to nonsmoking employees and then engaging in malicious and intentional adverse acts of retaliation against Plaintiff for his complaints in violation of his common law and contractual right to workplace health and safety. As a specific example, when welders and carpenters worked side-

by-side on fixing a power plant boiler, welders were given respirators while carpenters working for Brand (including Plaintiff) were given paper masks in the Hudson Plant at the 8-story main boiler during the 2013 Outage. When Plaintiff complained about this, along with the smoking in confined spaces, to his General Foreman, Tony Clemenza, he was essentially told that, if he didn't like it, he could leave.

- 18. In January of 2014, Plaintiff tripped and fell on the job, while working for Brand at PSE&G in Kearney, New Jersey, and sustained what was ultimately determined to be a torn rotator cuff. Plaintiff was given a Verbal Warning related to this incident and an unrelated slip and fall while walking up a snow-covered hill. Plaintiff was forced to sign the erroneous Verbal Warning prepared by Brand because he was told that he would not be permitted to return to work unless he did so, and Plaintiff needed to work.
- 19. On or about March 22, 2014, Brand suspended Plaintiff for three days and also permanently banned him from working at the PSEG Hudson Generating Station, in Jersey City, New Jersey (a regular work location for Plaintiff). This three-day suspension was based on an unsubstantiated claim from a temporary employee that Plaintiff threatened him and was imposed on Plaintiff despite Brand's own admission that "[a]fter further investigation, it has come to Brand's attention that Mr. Fernandez followed the correct procedures in reporting the incident to a supervisor."
- 20. Brand's three-day suspension of Plaintiff for the alleged March 22 incident was, effectively, a termination of Plaintiff's employment, as Plaintiff was never permitted to work for Brand again.

21. Upon information and belief, other Brand employees who were non-Hispanic and/or under forty (40) years old and did engage in misconduct related to the March 22, 2014 incident are currently working for Brand. For example, Scott McDougal, a non-Hispanic employee who was supposed to be the Foreman at the time of the incident but who was, in fact, AWOL when he was supposed to be working at the time of the incident, continued to work for Brand. Plaintiff alleges significant and unlawful differential rules governing its Hispanic employees and those who assert their legal rights as compared with its Caucasian employees.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Unlawful discrimination on the basis of Ethnicity and National Origin under New Jersey Law Against Discrimination

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-21 as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. Defendants' conduct, as herein alleged, violated N.J.S.A. 10:5-1 et. seq, which makes unlawful discrimination of employees on the basis of ethnicity and national origin.
- 24. Plaintiff was performing his carpenter duties at a competent level.
- 25. Plaintiff was a victim of disparate treatment and a hostile work environment.
- 26. Plaintiff was subjected to an adverse employment action when he was terminated.
- 27. Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of the NJLAD, NJ health and safety and tort laws when it terminated Plaintiff.
- 28. Defendants had no legitimate, nondiscriminatory, non-retaliatory reason for its termination of Plaintiff.
- 29. Defendants had no legitimate, nondiscriminatory, non-retaliatory reason to terminate Plaintiff's employment.

- 30. Defendants' unlawful actions were intentional, willful, malicious and/or done with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights.
- 31. As a proximate result of Defendants' illegal discrimination and tortious retaliation, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer severe harm, losses in compensation, earning capacity, humiliation, and damage to his reputation, damage to his career development, mental anguish and emotional distress. As a result of those and actions and consequent harms Plaintiff is entitled to all legal and equitable remedies available under the NJLAD, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, reinstatement, restoration of benefits and seniority, and compensatory and punitive damages.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Unlawful discrimination on the basis of Age under New Jersey Law Against Discrimination

- 32. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-31 as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. Defendants' conduct, as herein alleged, violated N.J.S.A. 10:5-1 et. seq, which makes unlawful discrimination of employees on the basis of age.
- 34. Plaintiff was performing his carpenter duties at a competent level.
- 35. Plaintiff was subjected to an adverse employment action when he was terminated.
- 36. Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of the NJLAD when it terminated Plaintiff.
- 37. Defendants had no legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason for its termination of Plaintiff.

- 38. Defendants had no legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason to terminate Plaintiff's employment.
- 39. Defendants' unlawful actions were intentional, willful, malicious and/or done with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights.
- 40. As a proximate result of Defendants' illegal discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer severe harm, losses in compensation, earning capacity, humiliation, and damage to his reputation, damage to his career development, mental anguish and emotional distress. As a result of those and actions and consequent harms Plaintiff is entitled to all legal and equitable remedies available under the NJLAD, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, reinstatement, restoration of benefits and seniority, and compensatory and punitive damages.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Unlawful discrimination on the basis of Disability under the

New Jersey Law Against Discrimination

- 41. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-40 as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. Defendants violated the disability provisions of the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Retaliation

- 43. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-42 as though fully set forth herein.
- 44. Defendants retaliated against Plaintiff for exercising his lawful rights and engaging in protected activities under the New Jersey Law Against Discrimination. N.J.S.A. § 10:5-1, et seq. and common law tort and implied contract law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the Court grant the following relief:

- A. Order Defendants to make Plaintiff whole for the unlawful employment practices described above, by providing appropriate back pay, front pay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, reinstatement, restoration of benefits and seniority, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of Defendants unlawful employment practices;
- B. Order Defendants to make Plaintiff whole for the unlawful employment practices described above by providing compensation for non-pecuniary losses, including pain, suffering and humiliation in amounts to be determined at trial;
- C. Order Defendants to make Plaintiff whole for the unlawful employment practices described above by providing punitive damages;
- D. Order Defendants to pay statutory penalties and make Plaintiff whole for prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- E. Order Defendants to make Plaintiff whole for litigation costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- F. Grant other such relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all issues of which he has a right to a jury trial.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated:

By:

JOHN T. HERBERT, ESQ.

HERBERT LAW GROUP, LLC

96 Engle Street

Englewood, New Jersey 07631

TEL: (201) 490-4070

FAX: (201) 490-4077

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to \underline{R} . 4:25-4, John T. Herbert of the Herbert Law Group, LLC is designated as trial counsel on behalf of Plaintiff, Rafael Fernandez, in the above-captioned action.

HERBERT LAW GROUP, LLC

Dated:

By:

JOHN T. HERBERT, ESQ.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 4:5-1

I certify the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action or arbitration proceeding, now or contemplated. I further certify that no other known parties should be joined in this action \underline{R} . 4:5-1.

HERBERT LAW GROUP, LLC

Dated:

By:

JOHN T. HERBERT, ESQ.

Appendix XII-B1



CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT (CIS)

	FOR USE BY CLERK'S OFFICE ONLY
	PAYMENT TYPE:
	Снg/ск no.
	AMOUNT:
	OVERPAYMENT:
	BATCH NUMBER:
۷T	Y OF VENUE
n	X
(6	T.NOMBER (when available)
IR/	IENT TYPE

SEC DOOD NEW PORTS	or initial Law Division is (not motions) under <i>Rule</i> 4:5-1 cted for filing, under <i>Rule</i> 1:5-6(c), we the black bar is not completed			AMOUNT:				
Pleading will be rejection if information above				OVERPAYMENT:				
	or attorney'	s signa	ture is not affix	red		Ватсни	JMBER:	
ATTORNEY / PRO SE NAME			TELEPHONE NUMB	ER		Y OF VEN	UE	[···=i]
John T. Herbert, Esq		(201) 490-4070 Union					<u> </u>	
FIRM NAME (if applicable) Herbert Law Group, LL(MN	DOCKE	TNUMBE	R (when avai	lable)
OFFICE ADDRESS 96 Engle Street Englewood, NJ 07631			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			JMENT TYPE aplaint		
					JURY D	EMAND	YES	□ No
NAME OF PARTY (e.g., John Doe, Plaintiff) Rafael Fernandez, Plaintiff			CAPTION Fernandez v. Brand Energy Services, LLC., XYZ Corp., ABC, Inc., John/Jane Does 1-25				C, Inc.,	
CASE TYPE NUMBER (See reverse side for listing)	HURRICANE SANDY RELATED?	IS THIS	A PROFESSIONAL M	IALPRACTIO	CE CASE?	?	☐ YES	NO NO
618	YES NO	IF YOU HAVE CHECKED "YES," SEE N.J.S.A. 2A:53 A -27 AND APPLICABLE CASE LAW REGARDING YOUR OBLIGATION TO FILE AN AFFIDAVIT OF MERIT.					BLE CASE LAW	
RELATED CASES PENDING	?	IF YES, LIST DOCKET NUMBERS						
☐ YES	No							
DO YOU ANTICIPATE ADDIT (arising out of same transaction	on or occurrence)?	NAME	OF DEFENDANT'S PR	RIMARY INS	URANCE	COMPANY	(if known)	☐ NONE
☐ YES	L No				estas 2 Gilli			Unknown
Mrs. 44: \$1,14 Art Contract _ unit-state _ 11.11 Bustant Tribron 14: Co. No.	MATION PROVIDED	1 10 11 (0.00)	Carlos Acres and Carlos Assessed American				EVIDENC	E.
CASE CHARACTERISTICS F DO PARTIES HAVE A CURR			THAT RELATIONSHI		KMEDIATI	UN	1.77	
RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? Market Mar				explain)				
DOES THE STATUTE GOVE	RNING THIS CASE PROV	/IDE FOR	PAYMENT OF FEES	3Y THE LOS	SING PAR	TY?	YES	□ No
USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT ACCELERATED DISPOSITION		PECIAL CA	ASE CHARACTERISTI	CS THAT M	IAY WARF	RANT INDI	VIDUAL MAN	IAGEMENT OR
C5 □ YES	IENT NEED ANY DISABILITY A	ССОММОD) ACCOMMODA	TION
WILL AN INTERPRETE YES	R BE NEEDED? No		IF YES,	FOR WHAT L	ANGUAGE?			
I certify that confidential redacted from all docum						mitted to	the court,	and will be
ATTORNEY SIGNATURE:	White the	2	/					

page	1	of 2

Side 2

CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT

(CIS)

	Use for initial pl	eadin	ngs (not motions) under Rule 4:5-1	
CASE TYPES	(Choose one and enter number of case	type	in appropriate space on the reve	erse side.)
151 175 302 399 502 505 506 510 511 512 801	NAME CHANGE FORFEITURE TENANCY REAL PROPERTY (other than Tenancy, Contract BOOK ACCOUNT (debt collection matters only) OTHER INSURANCE CLAIM (including declarate PIP COVERAGE UM or UIM CLAIM (coverage issues only) ACTION ON NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT LEMON LAW SUMMARY ACTION OPEN PUBLIC RECORDS ACT (summary action OTHER (briefly describe nature of action)	ory jud	·	nstruction)
305 509 599 6031 603 605 610 621	- 300 days' discovery CONSTRUCTION EMPLOYMENT (other than CEPA or LAD) CONTRACT/COMMERCIAL TRANSACTION A AUTO NEGLIGENCE PERSONAL INJURY (no A AUTO NEGLIGENCE PERSONAL INJURY (ve PERSONAL INJURY AUTO NEGLIGENCE PROPERTY DAMAGE UM or UIM CLAIM (includes bodily injury) TORT OTHER			
005 301 602 604 606 607 608 609 616	- 450 days' discovery CIVIL RIGHTS CONDEMNATION ASSAULT AND BATTERY MEDICAL MALPRACTICE PRODUCT LIABILITY PROFESSIONAL MALPRACTICE TOXIC TORT DEFAMATION WHISTLEBLOWER / CONSCIENTIOUS EMPLOINVERSE CONDEMNATION LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION (LAD) CASES		PROTECTION ACT (CEPA) CASES	
156 303 508 513 514 620	- Active Case Management by Individual ENVIRONMENTAL/ENVIRONMENTAL COVER/MT. LAUREL COMPLEX COMMERCIAL COMPLEX CONSTRUCTION INSURANCE FRAUD FALSE CLAIMS ACT ACTIONS IN LIEU OF PREROGATIVE WRITS	Jud (AGE L	ge / 450 days' discovery ITIGATION	
271 274 278 279 281 282 285 286 287 287	Inty Litigation (Track IV) ACCUTANE/ISOTRETINOIN RISPERDAL/SEROQUEL/ZYPREXA ZOMETA/AREDIA GADOLINIUM BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB ENVIRONMENTAL FOSAMAX STRYKER TRIDENT HIP IMPLANTS LEVAQUIN YAZYYASMIN/OCELLA PRUDENTIAL TORT LITIGATION REGLAN	291 292 293 295 296 297 299 300 601	POMPTON LAKES ENVIRONMENTAL PELVIC MESH/GYNECARE PELVIC MESH/BARD DEPUY ASR HIP IMPLANT LITIGATIC ALLODERM REGENERATIVE TISSUE STRYKER REJUVENATE/ABG II MOD MIRENA CONTRACEPTIVE DEVICE OLMESARTAN MEDOXOMIL MEDIC/ TALC-BASED BODY POWDERS ASBESTOS PROPECIA	ON E MATRIX DULAR HIP STEM COMPONENTS
If you be in the sp	lieve this case requires a track other than that p ace under "Case Characteristics.	rovid	ed above, please indicate the reason	on Side 1,
Ple	ase check off each applicable categor	У	☐ Putative Class Action	☐ Title 59

UNION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 2 BROAD STREET ELIZABETH NJ 07207

TRACK ASSIGNMENT NOTICE

COURT TELEPHONE NO. (908) 659-4810 COURT HOURS 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM

DATE: MARCH 29, 2016

RE: PERNANDEZ VS BRAND ENERGY SERVICES LLC

DOCKET: UNN L -001018 16

THE ABOVE CASE HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO: TRACK 3.

DISCOVERY IS 450 DAYS AND RUNS PROM THE PIRST ANSWER OR 90 DAYS PROM SERVICE ON THE PIRST DEPENDANT, WHICHEVER COMES PIRST.

THE PRETRIAL JUDGE ASSIGNED IS: HON JOHN M. DEITCH

IP YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, CONTACT TEAM 002 AT: (908) 659-4820.

IF YOU BELIEVE THAT THE TRACK IS INAPPROPRIATE YOU MUST PILE A CERTIFICATION OF GOOD CAUSE WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE FILING OF YOUR PLEADING.
PLAINTIFF HUST SERVE COPIES OF THIS FORM ON ALL OTHER PARTIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH R.4:5A-2.

ATTENTION:

ATT: JOHN T. HERBERT
PERREIRA & HERBERT LAW GROUP
96 ENGLE ST
ENGLEWOOD NJ 07631-2947

JUVBREN